

## Energy and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Calculations for REMADE Project Proposals

Updated October 15, 2019 with further guidance covering chemical recycling and solvent-based recycling
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## Definition of Embodied Energy and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Emissions

- Embodied Energy is the energy required by all processing associated with converting a material into a manufactured product - from mining to final product delivery. When a material is landfilled, the raw material and the energy related to the material are lost.
- The unit "CO2e" (CO2 equivalent) represents an amount of a GHG whose atmospheric impact has been standardized to that of one unit mass of carbon dioxide ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), based on the global warming potential (GWP) of the gas.


## Purpose of Calculator

- Simple scoping method to calculate potential energy and CO2e savings arising from successfully developed and implemented technologies studied in each project
- Consistent estimates across REMADE projects on the material-specific embodied energy and CO2e emissions.
- Consistent units across REMADE projects to allow quick evaluation ("scoping") of their potential impact.

Components of Calculator
"EE and CO2e" tab

| Suggested Embodied Energy (EE) and CO2 equivalent (CE) Data for Calculator |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Emb. Energy (MJikg) |  | CO2e [ kg /kg] |  |
| Material | Primary [virgin) | Secondary (mechanically recycled) | Primary [virgin) | Secondary [mechanically recycled) |
| Low Carbon steel | 26.5 | 7.3 | 1.80 | 0.44 |
| Low alloy steel (cranskshafts and toolsi | 32.5 | 8.6 | 2.00 | 0.52 |
| Stainless_Steel | 84.5 | 12.0 | 4.95 | 0.73 |
| Aluminum | 210.0 | 26.0 | 12.00 | 2.10 |
| Copper alloys | 59.0 | 13.5 | 3.70 | 0.83 |
| Nickel-Chromium alloy | 181.5 | 33.0 | 11.50 | 2.00 |
| Zinc (die cast) | 60.0 | 11.0 | 4.10 | 0.67 |
| Lead | 27.0 | 7.5 | 2.00 | 0.45 |
| Magnesium alloys | 315.0 | 36.0 | 24.50 | 2.90 |
| Gold | 252500.0 | 684.5 | 26500.00 | 43.00 |
| Platinum | 271000.0 | 12561.0 | 14750.00 | 367.00 |
| Silver | 1475.0 | 155.0 | 100.00 | 9.30 |
| Titanium alloy | 685.0 | 87.0 | 46.50 | 5.20 |
| Paper_Cardboard | 51.5 | 19.5 | 1.15 | 0.76 |
| Eglass_Fiber | 65.5 |  | 3.52 |  |
| Cotton | 46.0 |  | 2.55 |  |
| PP | 79.0 | 50.0 | 3.05 | 2.10 |
| PE (HDPE, LDPE, LLDF | 81.0 | 50.0 | 2.75 | 2.85 |
| PVC | 59.0 | 36.0 | 2.50 | 2.15 |
| PS | 97.0 | 47.5 | 3.80 | 2.85 |
| PET | 85.0 | 39.0 | 3.90 | 2.35 |
| PUR foam | 109.0 |  | 4.50 |  |
| Polyamide_Nylon | 122.5 | 42.5 | 7.95 | 2.56 |
| Ethylene Yinyl Acetate (EVA) | 79.0 | 46.0 | 2.10 | 2.80 |
| Nat_Rubber | 67.5 |  | 2.10 |  |
| Butyl_Rubber_Synthetic | 118.0 |  | 6.60 |  |
| ABS | 94.5 | 46.5 | 3.80 | 2.80 |
| Polycarbonate | 108.5 | 42.5 | 6.00 | 2.55 |

"Calculator" tab includes inputs and outputs for "current" and "potential future" scenarios.
Calculator (Beta Version) to estimate embodied emissions and CO2e emissions of materials and processes

| Instructions or Explanation (read comment) | Current Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 | Total | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "Material Ty pe | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | \% Recycle content | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
|  | EE of primary material | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | EE of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | 'CO2e of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | 'CO2e of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | Embodied Energy per kg of product | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
|  | 'CO2e emissions per kg of product | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | $\mathrm{kgCO} / 2 / \mathrm{kg}$ of product |
|  | Mass of material in product |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
|  | Total EE impacted | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | MJ |
|  | Total CO2 emissions impacted | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Potential Future Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | Material Ty pe | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | \% Recycle content | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
|  | EE of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | EE of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | CO2e of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | "CO2e of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | Embodied Eneray per ka of product | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/ka |
|  | CO2 emissions per kg of product | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | kg CO2/kg |
|  | Mass of material in product |  |  | 0 | $\square 0$ |  | metric tons |
|  | Total EE of improved products | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | MJ |
|  | "Total CO2 emissions of improved pri | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Impact of Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Energy | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | MJ |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Eneray | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | PJ |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Eneray | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | TBu |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Eneray | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | Quad |
|  | Reduction in CO2e emissions | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  | Relative decrease in EE | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |
|  | Relative decrease in CO 2 emissions | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  | \#Div/0! |  |

## Inputs and Outputs of Calculator

| Instructions or Explanation (read comment) | Current Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 | Total | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Material Type | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | \% Recycle content | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
|  | EE of primary material | 0.0 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | EE of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | 'CO2e of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\text {' CO2e of secondary material }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | Embodied Energy per kg of product | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
|  | CO2e emissions per kg of product | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ of product |
|  | Mass of material in product |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
|  | Total EE impacted | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | MJ |
|  | 'Total CO2 emissions impacted | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Potential Future Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | Material Type | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
|  | \% Recycle content | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
|  | \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
|  | EE of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | EE of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
|  | CO2e of primary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | 'CO2e of secondary material |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | Embodied Energy per kg of product | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
|  | CO2 emissions per kg of product | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | kg CO2/kg |
|  | Mass of material in product |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
|  | Total EE of improved products | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.00E +00 | MJ |
|  | Total CO2 emissions of improved pri | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  | Impact of Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Energy | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | MJ |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Energy | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | PJ |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Energy | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | TBtu |
|  | Reduction in Embodied Energy | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | Quad |
|  | Reduction in CO2e emissions | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
|  | Relative decrease in EE | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |
|  | Relative decrease in CO 2 emissions | \#DIV/0! |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |  |

Inputs (for both "Current Status" and "Potential Future Status") are the cells highlighted in bright blue.

- Material type(s)
- \% Recycle content
- \% yield
- Mass of material in product

Embodied Energy (EE) and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ equivalent emissions (CO2e) from the "EE CO2e" tab in the spreadsheet or from other referenced sources

## Outputs are the cells highlighted in bright green. <br> - Reduction in Embodied Energy (EE reduction reported in TBtu) <br> - Reduction in CO2e (in metric tons) <br> - Relative decreases in Embodied Energy and CO2e

## Example 1: Increase recycled content (RC) in PET bottles from 10\% to 50\%

Current Process: RC in clear bottles is limited by rPET availability (economic/policy reasons)

- 3,000,000 metric tons PET used in clear bottles
- $10 \% \mathrm{RC}^{*}$ in clear bottles (i.e. 300,000 metric tons)

Potential Future Process: Technical improvements (e.g. more efficient process) lead to greater RC

- 3,000,000 metric tons PET used in clear bottles
- $50 \%$ RC in bottles (i.e. 1,500,000 metric tons)

Tabulated values of Embodied Energy (EE) and CO2 Emissions (CE) for primary (virgin) PET and rPET (mechanical)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E E_{\text {virgin } P E T}=85.0 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} & E E_{r P E T}=39.0 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
\text { CO2e }_{\text {virgin PET }}=3.9 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PET} & {\mathrm{CO} 2 e_{\text {rPET }}}=2.4 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO} \\
2
\end{array} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{PET}
$$

EE and CE for PET containing 10\% rPET

$$
\begin{gathered}
E E_{\text {current }}=0.9 E E_{\text {virgin } P E T}+0.1 E E_{r P E T}=80.4 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
\mathrm{CO} 2 e_{\text {current }}=0.9 \mathrm{CO} 2 e_{\text {virgin } P E T}+0.1 \mathrm{CO} 2 e_{r P E T}=3.75 \mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kgPET}
\end{gathered}
$$

EE and CE for PET containing 50\% rPET

The total EE and CE for the 3,000,000 metric tons of PET in clear bottles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E E_{\text {total }}=3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} \times 80.4 \frac{\mathrm{MJ}}{\mathrm{~kg}}=2.41 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{MJ} \\
& \text { CO2e }_{\text {total }}=3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} \times 3.75 \frac{\mathrm{kgCO}}{\mathrm{kgPET}}=1.1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{kgCO}_{2} \\
& =1.125 \times 10^{7} \text { metric tons } \mathrm{CO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E E_{\text {current }}=0.5 E E_{\text {virgin } P E T}+0.5 E E_{r P E T}=62.0 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& C O 2 e_{\text {current }}=0.5 \mathrm{CO}_{2} e_{\text {virgin } P E T}+0.5{\mathrm{CO} 2 e_{r P E T}}=3.15 \mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kgPET}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total EE and CE for the 3,000,000 metric tons of PET in clear bottles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E E_{\text {total }}=3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} \times 62.0 \frac{\mathrm{MJ}}{\mathrm{~kg}}=1.86 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{MJ} \\
& C O 2 e_{\text {total }}=3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg} \times 3.75 \frac{\mathrm{kgCO}}{\mathrm{kgPET}}=9.45 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{kgCO}_{2} \\
& =9.45 \times 10^{6} \text { metric tons } \mathrm{CO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Benefits of Future Process

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta E E_{\text {total }}=2.4 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{MJ}-1.9 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{MJ}=5.5 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{MJ}=55 \mathrm{PJ} \\
& \Delta C E_{\text {total }}=(11.25-9.45) \times 10^{6} \text { metric tons } \mathrm{CO}_{2}=1.8 \times 10^{6} \text { metric tons } \mathrm{CO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example 1: Increase recycled content (RC) in PET bottles from 10\% to 50\%



- Read Instructions (comments in column A" for explanations)
- $10 \%$ rPET currently in clear bottles
- Assume $100 \%$ yield since no yield change expected
- Embodied Energy (EE) and CO2e of virgin PET and rPET found in the "EE CO2e" tab (future versions to link automatically)
- Enter amount of clear bottle PET affected (in metric tons)
- Only one material involved, so enter " 0 " as mass for Materials 2-4
- Calculated EE and CO2e for "Current Status"
- Enter similar data for "Potential" Future Status, with only change to 50\% for "\% recycle content" in this example.
- Calculated EE and CO2e for "Potential Future Status"
- Calculated "Impact of Change" highlighted in bright green.


## Example 2: Process Improvement Reduces Production of Scrap from 2\% to 1\%

Current Process: Current scrap rate (losses) of injection molded of PP in a type of product is $2 \%$ (98\% yield)

- 100,000 metric tons of PP (all virgin) in affected product
- Assume all scrap is discarded to landfill

Potential Future Process: Technical improvements to injection molding process reduce losses (scrap rate) to $1 \%$ ( $99 \%$ yield)

- Same amount (100,000 metric tons of virgin PP) in the affected product
- Scrap still goes to landfill

Tabulated values of Embodied Energy (EE) and CO2 Emissions (CE) for primary (virgin) PP and secondary PP (mechanical)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E E_{\text {virgin } P P}=79.0 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} & E E_{r P P}=50.0 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
C E_{\text {virgin } P P}=3.1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO} \\
2
\end{array} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PET} \quad 1 C E_{r P P}=2.1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PET}
$$

## Example 2: Process Improvement Reduces Production of Scrap from 2\% to 1\%



## Example 3: Improvements enable replacement of 600,000 metric tons of virgin plastics with RC plastics

Current Process: A mix of PP (40\%), HDPE (40\%) and PVC (20\%) cannot be adequately separated

- 1 million metric tons per year are landfilled (i.e. 400,000 metric tons PP; 400,000 metric tons HDPE; 200 thousand metric tons PVC)
- New PP and HDPE products are made using virgin PP and HDPE pellets


Potential Future Process: Technical improvements to separation enable recovery of RC PP and HDPE pellets

- 300,000 metric tons each of RC PP and HDPE used in new products instead of virgin PP and HDPE
- 400,000 metric tons of mixed byproduct landfilled


Tabulated values of Embodied Energy (EE) and CO2 Emissions (CE) for primary (virgin) and mechanically recycled plastics

| Material | $\mathrm{EE}_{\text {virgin }}(\mathrm{MJ} / \mathbf{k g})$ | $\mathrm{EE}_{\text {recycled }}(\mathbf{M J} / \mathbf{k g})$ | $\mathrm{CE}_{\text {virgin }}\left(\mathrm{kgCO}_{\mathbf{2}} / \mathbf{k g}\right)$ | $\mathrm{CE}_{\text {recycled }}(\mathbf{k g C O} / \mathbf{k g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PP | 79.0 | 50.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| HDPE | 80.0 | 40.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 |

Example 3: Improvements enable replacement of 600,000 metric tons of virgin plastics with RC plastics

| Current Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 | Total | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Type | PP | HDPE | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| \% Recycle content | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| \% y ield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| EE of primary material | 79.0 | 80.0 |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| EE of secondary material | 50.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e of primary material | 3.1 | 3.3 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| CO2e of secondary material | 2.1 | 2.3 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Embodied Energy per kg of product | 79.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e emissions per kg of product | 3.10 | 3.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ of product |
| Mass of material in product | 300,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
| Total EE impacted | $2.37 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $2.40 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 4.77E+10 | MJ |
| Total CO2 emissions impacted | $9.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+06$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Potential Future Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| Material Type | PP | HDPE | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| \% Recy cle content | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| EE of primary material | 79.0 | 80.0 |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| EE of secondary material | 50.0 | 40.0 |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e of primary material | 3.1 | 3.3 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| CO2e of secondary material | 2.1 | 2.3 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Embodied Energy per kg of product | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2 emissions per kg of product | 2.10 | 2.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | kg CO2/kg |
| Mass of material in product | 300,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
| Total EE of improved products | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.70 \mathrm{E}+10$ | MJ |
| Total CO2 emissions of improved pr | $6.30 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.32E+06 | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Impact of Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $8.70 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.20E+10 | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+10$ | MJ |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $8.70 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.07 \mathrm{E}+01$ | PJ |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $8.24 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 1.96E+01 | TBtu |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $8.24 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.96 \mathrm{E}-02$ | Quad |
| Reduction in CO2e emissions | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}+05$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Relative decrease in EE | 37\% |  |  |  | 43\% |  |
| Relative decrease in CO 2 emissions | 32\% |  |  |  | 31\% |  |


| Material | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EE}_{\text {virgin }} \\ & (\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{EE}_{\text {recycled }} \\ & (\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CE}_{\text {virgin }} \\ \left(\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CE}_{\text {recycled }} \\ \left(\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PP | 79.0 | 50.0 | 3.1 | 2.1 |
| HDPE | 80.0 | 40.0 | 3.3 | 2.3 |

- 300,000 metric tons each of rPP and rHDPE used in new products instead of virgin PP and HDPE


## Guidance for Chemical and Solvent-based Recycling Processes

- Embodied energy and CO2e data are not available for plastic recycling processes involving solvent dissolution or for chemical depolymerization or degradation processes (e.g. pyrolysis), so these values must be estimated based on calculations of an expected process.
- These should be "back of the envelope" calculations in their level of detail, though they should consider the major energetic steps in the processes and the significant material streams.
- The basis for the calculations are converting an existing waste stream into polymer pellets. This means that mechanical recycling steps and extrusion should be included in the calculations (along with the special steps for the new process and any auxiliary processes to recover solvents or reagents)
- The "Plastics recycling calculator" tab guides the user through these calculations
- Calculated values for embodied energy and CO2e for chemical or solvent-based recycling processes can be entered into the "Calculator" tab for these secondary materials


## "Chemical Recycling" includes most Mechanical Recycling Steps



## Calculations for "Chemical Recycling"

## Steps include:

- Collection
- Material preparation (size reduction, sorting, etc.) - This step could include many processes typical of mechanical recycling
- The Chemical Recycling process, which may include steps such as dissolution, depolymerization, recovery and purification of process liquids and catalysts, drying of recovered polymer or monomer, chemical conversions back to monomer, and polymerization of the monomer(s) back to the polymer.
- Extrusion back to pellets for use in conversion processes (including solid-stating for PET to get to bottle grade PET)

The "Plastics recycling calculator" tab of "REMADE Energy and CO2e calculator V4.0" leads the user through the above steps.

## Example 4: Solvent-based Recycling of HDPE

- Assume we can recover an additional 1 million metric tons of HDPE by using a solvent-based process.
- We start our calculations using the "Plastics recycling calculator" tab as shown below and continuing on the next slides.

DRAFT Plastic Recycling Calculator Worksheet

| Instructions: P processes involvin realize that some may be used as the logic and unc questions about | feel olvents matio for inties alcula | ee to use the worksheet below to estimate the energy requirements for various processes includin depolymerization to monomers, or pyrolysis). As you go through the form, please read each step about your proposed process may be missing at this early stage, but please use your best realistic condary polymers in Rows 10,12, 24 and 26 of the "Calculator" tab. Please use the "Notes" colum the calculation. We may ask for this completed sheet and the completed "Calculator" worksheet ons, please reach out to Brian Riise (briise@remadeinstitute.org) or Ed Daniels (edaniels@rema | both "m carefully estimat (colum uring the institute | hanical recycling enter data to th for each step. ) after each entry view process or g). | and the <br> best of <br> The result <br> to provi <br> after you |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Line | Question for consideration or Result | Entry | Result (MJ/kg of product) | Notes |
| 1: Collection | 1-1 | How many kg of waste material must be collected to ultimately recover 1 kg of the product polymer? If the product is one of several products recovered from the mixture (including by other means), you can estimate an overall product yield of the mix and calculate this entry based on that yield (i.e. the inverse of the yield). The number must be greater than 1, and should most likely between 1.2 and 1.5. After entering the number, proceed to Section 2. | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | 1-2 | Collection energy |  | 0.75 |  |

## Example 4: Solvent-based Recycling of HDPE (continued)

| 2: Sorting and Material Preparation | 2-1 | Does the collected material need processing such as size reduction, sorting, removal of contamination to prepare it for downstream processes? If "Yes", enter "1" at right and proceed to Line 2-2. If "No", enter " 0 " and proceed to the relevant section below for the next processing step (e.g. Section 3 for solvent-based recycling). | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-2 | How many mechanical conveying steps are involved to prepare the material for chemical processing or extrusion (in the case of mechanical recycling)? The number should be at least 2 greater than the sum of entries in Lines 2-4, 2-6, 2-8, 2-10 and 2-12. Enter the number at right, which is the multiplier for number of conveyors. Proceed to Line 2-4. | 9 |  |  |
|  | 2-3 | Energy for material conveying |  | 2.7 |  |
|  | 2-4 | How many size reduction steps? The number is typically 2 if reducing from large objects to flakes, but can be 1 if feed to the chemical process is larger than about 20 mm . Proceed to Line 2-6. | 2 |  |  |
|  | 2-5 | Energy for Size Reduction |  | 1.65 |  |
|  | 2-6 | How many total steps involve screening, magnets or eddy current separators to prepare the material for chemical recycling? After entering the number, proceed to Line 2-8. | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2-7 | Energy for screening, magnets or eddy current separators |  | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2-8 | How many total steps involve air separations or dry cleaning? After entering the number, proceed to Line 2-10. | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2-9 | Energy for air separations or dry cleaning |  | 0.3 |  |
|  | 2-10 | How many total steps involve wet density separations or cleaning followed by drying? After entering the number, proceed to Line 2-12. | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2-11 | Energy for wet density separations or cleaning followed by drying |  | 0.45 |  |
|  | 2-12 | How many total steps involve optical sorting? After entering the number, proceed to Line 214. | 2 |  |  |
|  | 2-13 | Energy for optical sorting steps |  | 0.6 |  |
|  | 2-14 | Enter energy (MJ per kg of final secondary polymer) for any additional transportation, mechanical recycling or sorting processes not captured thus far in Section 2. Proceed to the relevant section below for the next processing step (e.g. Section 3 for solvent-based recycling). |  |  |  |
|  | 2-15 | Energy of other processes |  | 0 |  |
|  | 2-16 | Total Energy for Sorting and Material Preparation |  | 6 |  |

## Example 4: Solvent-based Recycling of HDPE (continued)

| 3: Solvent-based recyoling | 3-1 | dow homent dissolve the polymer? The ratio should typically be between 5 and 15 to ensure rapid dissolution and to keep enerqy use reasonable. Proceed to Line 3-2. | 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-2 | Enter the specific heat capacity of the slurry containing polymer and solvent fin units if K.J.kgI/K). You can estimate the slury specific heat capacity based on the solvent heat capacity. Several solvents are listed in the "EE CO2 solventstprocesses" tab, or you can look up elsewhere or estimate. Proceed to Line 3-3. | 1.72 |  | Using Xylene as the solvent |
|  | 3-3 | Enter the latent heat of vaporization of the solvent (in units if $\mathrm{JJ} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Several solvents are listed in the "EE CO2 solvents+processes" tab, or you can look up elsewhere or estimate. Proceed to Line 3-4. | 206 |  | Value for Xylene |
|  | 3-4 | What is the expected dis solution temperature in degrees C? Proceedto Line 3-5. | 120 |  | Assume the process is at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | 3-5 | What fraction of the targeted polymer will be recovered after dissolution and reprecipitation? Proceed to Line 3-6. | 1 |  | Here we assume full dissolution and recovery. |
|  | 3-6 | If the heating source for boiling off solvents is using natural gas, enter "1" at right. If heating uses electicity, enter " 0.3 ". Proceed to Line 3-8. | 0.3 |  |  |
|  | 3-7 |  |  | 5.7 |  |
|  | 3-8 | Enter the energy to convey material, slurry and solution, including filtration of insolubles. Number should be approximately 1 MJikg, but enter alternative if available. Proceed to Line 3-10 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 3-9 |  |  | 1 |  |
|  | 3-10 | How much anti-solvent (in kg per kg of final product) is used to precitatate the polymer? Number should be much less than the value entered in Line 3-1, but should be non-zero. Procees to Line 3-11. | 1 |  | Assume we add 1 part of ethylene glycol to precipitate the HDPE polymer |
|  | 3-11 | Enter the latent heat of vaporization of the anti-solvent (in units if kJJkg). Several solvents are listed in the "EE CO2 solvents+processes" tab, or you can look up elsewhere or estimate. Proceed to Line 3-12. | 372 |  | Value for Ethylene Glyool |
|  | 3-12 | What is the boiling point of the solvent in degrees C? See "EE CO2e solvents+processes" or a suitable reference. Proceed to Line 3-13 | 140 |  | Value for Xylene |
|  | 3-13 | What is the boiling point of the anti-solvent in degrees C? See "EE CO2e solventstrrocesses" or a suitable reference. Proceed to Line 3-19. | 197 |  | Value for Ethylene Glyool |
|  | 3-14 |  | 140 |  |  |
|  | 3-15 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
|  | 3-16 |  |  | 6. 180 |  |
|  | 3-17 |  |  | 0.000 |  |
|  | 3-18 |  <br>  solkent: |  | a.5 ${ }^{3}$ |  |
|  | 3-19 | How much energy is required (per kg of solvent) to clean up and purify the solvent after separation from the polymer and the anti-solvent? Proceed to Line 3-20 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 3-20 | How much energy is required (per kg of ant-solvent) to clean up and purify the anti-solvent after separation from the polvmer and the asolvent? Proceed to Line 3-22. | 1 |  |  |
|  | 3-21 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
|  | 3-22 | What \% of the solvent is lost in each batch run? Use $1 \%$ as a default unless there are better numbers. Proceed to Line 3-23. | 1\% |  |  |
|  | 3-23 | What is the embodied energy of the solvent? See "EE COZe solvents+processes" or suitable reference. Proceed to Line 3-24. | 47 |  | Estimate for Xylene from the "EE CO2e solvents+processes" tab. |
|  | 3-24 | How much energy is required to treat the solvent (per kg of solvent that is lost)? Proceed to Line 3-26 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 3-25 |  |  | 4.38 |  |
|  | 3-26 | What\% of the anti-solvent is lost in each batch run? Use $1 \%$ as a default unless there are better numbers. Proceed to Line 3-27. | \% |  |  |
|  | 3-27 | What is the embodied energy of the anti-solvent? See "EECO2e solvents+processes" or suitable reference. Proceed to Line 3-28. | 60 |  | $\qquad$ |
|  | 3-28 | How much energy is required to treat the anti-solvent (per kg of solvent that is lost)? Proceed to Line 3-30 | 1 |  |  |
|  | 3-29 |  |  | 0.57 |  |
|  | 3-30 | Enter energy (MJ per kg of final secondary polymer) for any additional processes related to Section 3. Proceed to Section 8. |  |  |  |
|  | 3-31 | Enery |  | 0 |  |
|  | 3-32 | Toud/Energy for Solvent-based'Recycling Processes |  | 24.7 |  |

## Example 4: Solvent-based Recycling of HDPE (continued)

- Sections 4-7 are left blank as they apply to other processes

| 8: Extrusion and Compounding | 8-1 | Conveying energy assuming feed and take-away. | 0.4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8-2 | A 100\% yield is assumed for the extrusion step. Proceed to Line 8-4. |  |  |
|  | 8-3 | Extrusion energy per kg of polymer | 6 |  |
|  | 8-4 | Is the process with PET so that solid-stating is used to convert to bottle grade PET? Is "Yes" enter "1" at right. Proceed to Line 8-6. |  |  |
|  | 8-5 | Energy for PET solid-stating | 0 |  |
|  | 8-6 | Enter energy (MJ per kg of final secondary polymer) for any additional processes related to Section 8. Examples could include additional compounding stages, post-drying, etc. beyond the normal processes for extrusion compounding. Proceed to Section 9. |  |  |
|  | 8-7 | Additional Energy | 0 |  |
|  | 8-8 | Total Energy for Extrusion and Compounding to produce pellets | 6.4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 9: Results Summary | 9-1 | Collection energy (from Line 1-3) | 0.75 |  |
|  | 9-2 | Total Energy for Sorting and Material Preparation (from Line 2-17) | 6 |  |
|  | 9-3 | Total Energy for Solvent-based Recycling Processes (from Line 3-23) | 24.7 |  |
|  | 9-4 | Total Energy for Depolymerization and Repolymerization of PET (from Line 4-9) | 0 |  |
|  | 9-5 | Total Energy for Depolymerization and Repolymerization of PS (from Line 5-7) | 0 |  |
|  | 9-6 | Energy of pyrolysis of polyolefins and repolymerization (from Line 6-6) | 0 |  |
|  | 9-7 | Calculated energy to convert waste polymer to new polymer (other chemical recycling process) (from Line 7-1) | 0 |  |
|  | 9-8 | Total Energy for Extrusion and Compounding to produce pellets (from Line 8-8) | 6.4 |  |
|  | 9-9 | Total Process Energy to Produce Pellets from Waste Stream | 37.9 | Use this in the "Calculator" tab. |
|  | 9-10 | Calculated CO2e (divide Energy by 20 for an estimate) | 1.89 | Use this in the "Calculator" tab. |

- Embodied energy and CO2e highlighted in bright green are entered in the appropriate cells in the "Calculator" tab (see next slide)


## Example 4: Solvent-based Recycling of HDPE (continued)

| Current Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 | Total | Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material Type | HDPE | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| \% Recycle content | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| EE of primary material | 81.0 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| EE of secondary material | 37.9 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e of primary material | 2.8 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| CO2e of secondary material | 1.9 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Embodied Energy per kg of produc | 81.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e emissions per kg of product | 2.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ of product |
| Mass of material in product | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ |  | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
| Total EE impacted | $8.10 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.10 \mathrm{E}+13$ | MJ |
| Total CO2 emissions impacted | $2.75 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}+09$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Potential Future Status | Material 1 | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| Material Type | HDPE | Material 2 | Material 3 | Material 4 |  |  |
| \% Recycle content | 100\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| \% yield | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |  |
| EE of primary material | 81.0 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| EE of secondary material | 37.9 |  |  |  |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2e of primary material | 2.8 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| CO2e of secondary material | 1.9 |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{kgCO}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Embodied Energy per kg of produc | 37.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | MJ/kg |
| CO2 emissions per kg of product | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  | kg CO2/kg |
| Mass of material in product | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+09$ |  | 0 | 0 |  | metric tons |
| Total EE of improved products | $3.79 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.79 \mathrm{E}+13$ | MJ |
| Total CO2 emissions of improved p | $1.90 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}+09$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Impact of Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+13$ | MJ |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+04$ | PJ |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+04$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+04$ | TBtu |
| Reduction in Embodied Energy | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.08 \mathrm{E}+01$ | Quad |
| Reduction in CO2e emissions | $8.50 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $0.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.50 \mathrm{E}+08$ | metric tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |
| Relative decrease in EE | 53\% |  |  |  | 53\% |  |
| Relative decrease in CO 2 emissior | 31\% |  |  |  | 31\% |  |

## Guidance on Total Relevant Material Mass Affected

- The energy and CO2e impacts of your project will depend directly on the amount of material assumed to be impacted by implementation of the technology
- The amount should be estimated based on
- How much of the material type(s) is available in the end-of-life stream of interest? The proposer should provide references with the estimated amount while showing a grasp of the waste stream's current and expected future potential for recycling the material(s).
- What fraction of the material could potentially be affected by implementation of the technology? This should provide an optimistic long-term estimate assuming the new technology is successful, while also being realistic about the likelihood of competitive technologies limiting market share and logistical or regulatory limitations that prevent full adoption for the entire amount. We suggest using something like $50 \%$ or less for this fraction.


## Additional References

## Embodied Energy Data (incudes data on production processes such as casting, injection molding)

M. F. Ashby, Materials and the Environment (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Edition): Chapter 6 - Eco-data: values, sources, precision, 2013, pages

119-174. Available at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123859716000063
M. F. Ashby, Materials and the Environment (2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Edition): Chapter 15 - Material Profiles, 2013, pages 459-595. Available at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123859716000154

Benoit Cushman-Roisin and Bruna Tanaka Cremonini, Useful Numbers for Environmental Studies and Meaningful Comparisons, looking for a publisher, 2019.

## Energy and Emissions Data for Plastics (includes data for intermediate chemicals)

Cradle-to-Gate Life Cycle Inventory of Nine Plastic Resins and Four Polyurethane Precursors, prepared for the Plastics Division the American Chemistry Council by Franklin Associates, August 2011. Available at https://plastics.americanchemistry.com/LifeCycle-Inventory-of-9-Plastics-Resins-and-4-Polyurethane-Precursors-RptOnly/ plus further details at https://plastics.americanchemistry.com/LifeCycle-Inventory-of-9-Plastics-Resins-and-4-Polyurethane-Precursors-APPS-Only/
https://www.plasticseurope.org/en/resources/eco-profiles

## Recycling and Recovery

Energy Impacts of Production and Recycling of Materials (EPA)

## What to include in your proposal

- Assumptions used in the calculation (e.g. increase in recycle content, yield improvement, amount of additional secondary material use, energy savings in process)
- Reference the source of data used for the calculation (e.g. data in REMADE calculator tool)
- Method of Calculation (e.g. REMADE calculator tool)
- Results of Calculation
- Potential embodied energy savings (in PJ and TBtu)
- Potential savings in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ equivalent (in metric tons)
- Comparison of expected improvements with TPMs stated in the RFP
- Save your calculations, as REMADE will want to review the calculations


## Questions

For questions about use of the tool or assumptions, or suggestions for improvements, please contact:

## REMADE_RFP@remadeinstitute.org

